

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3470 OF 2006.

Sadashiv Kashinath Shinde .. Petitioner

V/s

Shri Mahesh Suresh Jagtap
and others

.. Respondents.

Mr. M.L. Patil for the petitioner.

CORAM : DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.

DATE : 30TH JUNE, 2006.

p.c.

. The petitioner was appointed earlier on a temporary basis for a period of 3 years. The School Tribunal has noted in paragraph 8 of its order that the petitioner was not appointed as an approved teacher by the 1st respondent on a recognised division but as an extra teacher. No interference is therefore warranted. However in another petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the Division Bench by its order dated 20th June, 2005, in Writ Petition No. 9813/2004 noted that the manner in which the School was engaging teachers on training and on lesser salary was also not justified. The AGP had stated that the Education Officer Zilla Parishad, Pune would be asked to look into this issue and to hold an inquiry and that appropriate

action will be taken against the School if it is found that any such anomalies existed there. The orders of the Division Bench must be complied with expeditiously, unless already complied with. A copy of this order shall be forwarded to the Education Officer, Zilla Parishad Pune for taking necessary action in pursuance of the order of the Division Bench. The petitioner would be at liberty to adopt appropriate proceedings in the event that the enquiry which is directed to be conducted in pursuance of the order of the Division Bench gives rise to any fresh cause of action in so far as the services of the petitioner are concerned.

2. The petition is disposed of.

(DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.)